



**Counter-Cybercrime Technology Investigation Symposium (CTINS)**  
**Open Source Forensic Tools for Capacity Building**

Dr. Joshua I. James  
[Joshua.i.james@hallym.ac.kr](mailto:Joshua.i.james@hallym.ac.kr)  
Legal Informatics and Forensic Science Institute  
College of International Studies  
Hallym University



# Capacity Building

- **Irish case in 2009-2010**
  - Computer Crimes Unit had 14 investigators (population 4.6 million)
  - All based in Dublin – rural areas difficult to access
  - Case backlog of over 3 years
    - Several liability close calls
  - Recession / austerity measures hit police budgets very hard



# Capacity Building

- **Irish case in 2009-2010**
  - Need to reduce case backlog...
  - While reducing budget...
  - And maintain quality of investigation
- **First: Measure!**



# Capacity Building

- **What are the most important types of cases?**
  - Are priority areas actually priority?
- **Where do investigators spend the majority of their time?**
- **What slows down investigations?**
- **Are there any obvious time-wasters?**



# Capacity Building

## • Irish case in 2009-2010 [1]

**Table 1**  
Percentage of incoming investigation requests per crime group in 2009–2010.

| Crime group  | Incoming investigation requests | Incoming investigation requests |
|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Child exploitation material  | 444                             | 34%                             |
| Data retrieval, Internet investigations, email and fraud/counterfeiting  | 601                             | 46%                             |
| Murder, cell phones, telephone fraud, hacking, kidnappings, drug related | 65                              | 5%                              |
| Other  | 196                             | 15%                             |
| <b>Total:</b>  | <b>1306</b>                     | <b>100%</b>                     |

**Table 2**  
Average estimated percentage of an investigator's time spent per crime group per week.

| Crime group  | Average estimated % of investigator's time |
|--|--|
| Child exploitation material  | 80%  |
| Data retrieval, Internet investigations, email and fraud/counterfeiting  | 15%  |
| Murder, cell phones, telephone fraud, hacking, kidnappings, drug related | 5%   |



# Capacity Building

## • Irish case in 2009-2010 [1]

**Table 3**

Percentage of requests closed per crime group, per total closed requests, and per total incoming investigation requests in 2009–2010.

| Crime group  | % of requests closed per crime group | % of total closed requests | % of total incoming investigation requests |
|--|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Child exploitation material  | 35%                                  | 20%                        | 11%  |
| Data retrieval, Internet investigations, email and fraud/counterfeiting  | 65%                                  | 52%                        | 29%  |
| Murder, cell phones, telephone fraud, hacking, kidnappings, drug related | 67%                                  | 6%                         | 4%   |
| Other  | 84%                                  | 22%                        | 13%  |
| <b>Total:</b>  |                                      |                            | <b>744</b>                                 |



# Capacity Building

- **Solving the problem**
  - Organizational changes
  - Implemented custom open source tools
  - Biggest change: process flow (tools modified to support process)
- **Traditional Digital Forensic investigation process is usually not very optimized**



# Open Source Tools

## Defining Open Source





# Open Source Tools

- **Software that provides the source code for review**
  - Usually able to modify the source code
  - Usually has a main developer or community
    - Main developer may be a company
- **Most liberal definition:**
  - “Open source software is software with source code that anyone can inspect, modify, and enhance.” [2]



# Open Source Tools

- **Open Source does not necessarily mean 'Free'**
  - Many open source tools are provided at no cost
  - Some open source tools are commercial products that also provide the source for review / community expansion



# Open Source Tools

- **Paid tools tend to have support services similar commercial / closed source software**
  - Expert witness services sometimes provided
- **Free tools generally rely on the community or self-support**
  - Expert witness services not usually provided



# Open Source Tools

- **In most countries results from open source tools are admissible in court**
  - Sometimes more accepted than closed source: can see how the tool works (requires programming knowledge)
  - Most tools can be accepted if they are *properly tested*
  - Investigator must be the expert witness



# Why use open source tools for digital forensic investigation?

- **Open Source Digital Forensic tools have improved a lot in recent years**
  - All digital forensic tasks can be done in a similar time
- **(Usually) Reduced cost**
  - Many open source tools are provided for free
  - Charge for training / support
  - Important for *sustainable* capacity building



# Why use open source tools for digital forensic investigation?

- **Improved local support**
  - More support and control for local languages and data structures (Asian languages / file formats)
- **Greater flexibility and process automation**
  - Open source tools normally provide support for multiple platforms



# Why use open source tools for digital forensic investigation?

- **Improved feature expansion**
  - Open source tools often provide libraries that can be used as a basis for your own tools
- **(arguably) Improved security / correctness**
  - Open source tools have a potential to be more secure because



# Reasons to use commercial closed source tools for digital forensic investigation

- **Ease of use**

- Expert witness describing the tool may be provided
- Technical support almost always provided
- Closed/commercial products are generally easy to use (low learning curve)
  - Open source tools tend to be harder to use (requires technical ability)
- Commercial tools are sometimes maintained longer





# LE Capacity Building with the UNODC

- **My experience:**

- Some costs cannot be easily reduced:  
hardware – let's focus budgets on hardware
- Can we make a fully-functional digital forensic laboratory using only open source tools?
  - YES! But...
- Providing expensive closed-source software (that must be renewed) does not help countries
  - Buy & train this year – what happens next year?



# Interesting Open Source Tool (kits)

- **Autopsy**
  - <http://www.sleuthkit.org/autopsy/>
- **Volatility**
  - <http://www.volatilityfoundation.org/>
- **DEFT / Caine**
  - <http://www.deftlinux.net/>
  - <http://www.caine-live.net/>
- **DeepThought [3]**
- **Automated Network Triage (ANT) [4]**

- **Education:**

- Video game to train first responders on digital evidence handling [5]





# Open Source Tools

- **Hardware:**

- FIREBrick [6] – acquisition system
- Open source alternative to hardware write blockers and acquisition devices
- Can be built for about \$199
- Uses open-source software
- Allows custom programs





## Current Project

- **Open Source Infrastructure for Child Exploitation Investigation**
  - Machine learning for automatic classification of images and videos
  - Known-bad hash database compatible with C4All (Autopsy with C4All plugin for front-end)
  - Costs: Hardware, development, training
  - All results can be easily replicated in other countries



# References

- 1) James, J. I., & Gladyshev, P. (2013). A survey of digital forensic investigator decision processes and measurement of decisions based on enhanced preview. *Digital Investigation*, 10(2), 148–157. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diin.2013.04.005>
- 2) (n.d). What is open source?. OepnSoruce.com.  
<https://opensource.com/resources/what-open-source>
- 3) Shaw, A., & Browne, A. (2013). A practical and robust approach to coping with large volumes of data submitted for digital forensic examination. *Digital Investigation*, 10(2), 116–128. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diin.2013.04.003>
- 4) Koopmans, M. B., & James, J. I. (2013). Automated network triage. *Digital Investigation*, 10(2), 129–137. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diin.2013.03.002>
- 5) Conway, A., I. James, J., & Gladyshev, P. (2015). Development and initial user evaluation of a virtual crime scene simulator including digital evidence. *Lecture Notes of the Institute for Computer Sciences, Social-Informatics and Telecommunications Engineering, LNICST (Vol. 157)*. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-25512-5\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-25512-5_2)
- 6) [http://dfire.ucd.ie/?page\\_id=1011](http://dfire.ucd.ie/?page_id=1011)